Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/616,313	GAUNA, KEVIN WAYNE
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thuy V. Tran	2821
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) <u>Thuy V. Tran</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. Joseph R. Milner (REg. No. 42,896).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 8 February 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 18-21		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
Unisolian		
(Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A teleconference was made to discuss about the corrections to be made to claims 18-21 to improve antecedent basis and to clearly define the claimed invention, resulting in an authorized Examiner's Amendment including:

Claim 18, line 7, change "incandescent lamp" to --A-lamp--;

Claim 18, line 8, change "incandescent lamp" to --A-lamp--;

Claim 19, line 2, delete "further";

Claim 20, line 2, delete "further"; and

Claim 21, line 2, delete "further"..